

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/678,917	· •	10/02/2003	Peter M. Graf	A-72340/RBC File No. 463	1418	
32940	7590	03/21/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		NEY LLP ROPERTY DEPAR	DAVIS, ROBERT B			
4 EMBAR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 340	0		1722			
SAN FRAN	VCISCO,	CA 94111	DATE MAILED: 03/21/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/678,917	GRAF ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Robert B. Davis	1722
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	o correspondence address
A SH THE - Extr afte - If th - If N - Fail	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. If SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reploperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) o I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro te, cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	ı		
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 I</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p	
Disposi	tion of Claims		
5)⊠ 6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-15</u> is/are withdra Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 9</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>7 and 8</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	wn from consideration.	
Applicat	tion Papers		·
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examina The drawing(s) filed on 23 February 2004 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examina Theorem 1.	re: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes object of a drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So action is required if the drawing(s) is \square	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Application of the contract	ation No ived in this National Stage
Attachmei	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413)
2) Noti 3) Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/22/03</u> .	Paper No(s)/Mail	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/678,917 Page 2

Art Unit: 1722

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-9, in the reply filed on February 9, 2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Claims 10-15 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on February 9, 2005.
- 3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 25 (The drawings appear to use reference numeral 15; therefore, it is suggested to change either change every reference numeral 25 in the specification to 15 or every reference numeral 15 in the drawings to 25). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner,

Application/Control Number: 10/678,917 Page 3

Art Unit: 1722

the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On line 26 of page 4, "o" should be "of".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 7 is dependent upon itself. Accordingly, the scope of the claim cannot be determined. For the purpose of examination, it is assumed that claim 7 is dependent upon claim 1.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 1-6 and 9 are allowed over the prior art of record.
- 8. Claims 7 and 8 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: None of the prior art of record teach or suggest the combination of a low-cut

Art Unit: 1722

resilient fabric impression slipper shaped to extend upwardly on a patient's foot from a plantar surface of the foot to an open end sufficiently low to enable removal of the hardened slipper without cutting, the fabric of the impression slipper being sufficiently resilient to substantially conform the patient's foot, and a longitudinally resiliently extensible band being provided on the impression slipper proximate the open end, the band being formed to hold the open end of the impression slipper snugly against the patient's dorsum and heel, and a quantity of curable resin sufficient to harden the impression slipper into a cast foot impression. The closest prior art is characterized in the background of the invention with respect to Friedman (2,593,742) and Graf et al (5,228,164). Neither Friedman or Graf et al teach or suggest the combination of the slipper extending upwardly to an open end sufficiently low to enable removal of the hardened slipper without cutting and the longitudinally resiliently extensible band on the slipper proximate the open end to hold the slipper snugly against the dorsum and heel.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The remaining references illustrate the state of the art in impression molding devices for forming an impression of a foot.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert B. Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-1129. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Benjamin L. Utech can be reached on 571-272-1137. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/678,917 Page 5

Art Unit: 1722

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert B. Davis Primary Examiner Art Unit 1722

3/16/05